

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/697,104 10/31/2003 Eisaku Tozaka 001309.00051 8997 22907 12/30/2005 **EXAMINER** 7590 **BANNER & WITCOFF** AHMAD, NASSER 1001 G STREET N W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1100** WASHINGTON, DC 20001 1772

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/697,104	TOZAKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2005.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4-7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/697,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Rejection Withdrawn

1. Claims 1-6 and 8 provisionally rejected under the obviousness-type double patenting has been withdrawn in view of the copending application being abandoned as acknowledged by the applicant in the amendment filed on October 11, 2005.

2. Claims 1-6 and 8 rejected under the provisional obviousness-type double patenting has been withdrawn in view of the certified English translation of the instant application's Japanese priority document that precedes the filing date of the copending application

## Rejections Maintained

- 3. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ellis(1664601) for reasons of record in the last Office Action of July 26, 2005.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mullen (6749861) for reasons of record in the last Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 11, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims have been amended to recite that the adhesive is a wood adhesive. This is not deemed to be convincing because the adhesive being a "wood adhesive" is directed to its intended use with wood material. Intended use

Art Unit: 1772

phrases are not found to be of positive limitations and hence, have not been given any patentable weight.

In response to applicant's argument that Ellis does not describe a resin base, applicant is informed that in page-1, lines 14-15, Ellis teaches the in corporation of a resinous substance to the composition.

Similarly, Mullen also teaches polyvinyl chloride, which is a resinous substance.

Thus, in the absence of any evidence to the contrary, it remains the examiner's position, that the instant claimed invention is anticipated over the prior art of record discussed above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The phrase "wortles" is not described in the specification. It is unclear as to what is "wortles"?

Art Unit: 1772

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claims 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9, 12 and 13, the phrase "wortle" is found to be vague and it is unclear as to

what is its definition. The specification fails to provide any definition therefor.

Claims 8 and 20, as stated, fails to further limit the independent claims 1 and 9 because

it recites that the Hinokitiol is mixed, instead of the cedarwood oil.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art uncovered so far fails to teach the use of microcapsule comprising hollow septal wall or sepiolite as a mineral thickening agent for the adhesive composition

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,104 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. December 27, 2005.